# STATE OF UTAH CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND



INTENDED USE PLAN

FY 2017

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	3
PROGRAM OPERATIONS	
EXTENDED FINANCING TERMS	4
ADDITIONAL SUBSIDIZATION	
GREEN PROJECT RESERVE	
PROGRAM ASSURANCES	
FY17 CWSRF PROJECT FUNDING	
LONG TERM GOALS	
SHORT TERM GOALS	
FY17 UTAH WASTEWATER LOAN PROGRAM	
FY17 HARDSHIP GRANT FUNDS	
PAYMENT SCHEDULE	
CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS  STATE REVOLVING FUNDS (SRF)  UTAH WASTEWATER LOAN FUND (UWLF)  HARDSHIP GRANT FUNDS	11
PROJECT PRIORITY LIST	1.5

# STATE OF UTAH CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND

# INTENDED USE PLAN

### **INTRODUCTION**

As required under Sections 606(c) and 610(b) of the Clean Water Act, the State of Utah has prepared an Intended Use Plan (IUP) for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) program. The purpose of the IUP is to facilitate the negotiation process for the Fiscal Year 2017 CWSRF Capitalization Grant agreement. This IUP outlines the short-term and long-term goals of the program and proposes a schedule of payment between the Department of Environmental Quality – Division of Water Quality and the Environmental Protection Agency – Region 8. This document also describes the intended uses for: the State Revolving Fund (SRF), the Utah Wastewater Loan Fund (UWLF), and the Hardship Grant Funds (HGFs). All data provided in the 2017 IUP are projections of funding for the listed projects. Ultimately, the Utah Water Quality Board will determine loan amounts and financing terms as projects are presented for authorization.

The CWSRF is a financial assistance program that provides low-cost financing for treatment works, sewerage systems, storm water projects, decentralized systems, and nonpoint source projects. The operation of Utah's CWSRF program is coordinated between the Utah Water Quality Board (the Board) and the Department of Environmental Quality – Division of Water Quality. Projects financed through the State Revolving Fund may receive funding from the following sources: (a) SRF Capitalization Grants; (b) SRF loan repayments; and (c) State matching funds. Occasionally, an SRF-eligible project will be financed through the Utah Wastewater Loan Program or Hardship Grant Funds. If this occurs, the project may be removed from the SRF Project Priority List. Similarly, if an SRF-eligible project does not proceed, it may be removed from this list. The Intended Use Plan includes any project listed on the FY 2017 Project Priority List as well as any unanticipated projects that may be added during the year. Projects are listed on the Project Priority List prior to being presented to the Water Quality Board for authorization. Projects will be considered for funding according to their priority and readiness to proceed.

### **PROGRAM OPERATIONS**

Since its inception in 1989, Utah's CWSRF program has received appropriations from the federal government through capitalization grants. For FY17, Utah estimates its capitalization grant award will be approximately \$7,200,000.

In addition to federal dollars, The Department of Environmental Quality – Division of Water Quality is required to provide a twenty percent (20%) state match. Utah has met the state match requirement by using money from the Utah Wastewater Loan Fund (UWLF). Revenues into the UWLF are comprised of principal repayments from state loans and from state sales taxes. For FY17, Utah anticipates receiving its full measure of sales tax dollars, which is \$3,587,500. The entire 20% state matching amount will be used toward eligible project costs before draws are made from the capitalization grant. Once the requirement is met, draws will be made from the federal letter of credit (LOC) as a 100% federal share.

The Department of Environmental Quality – Division of Water Quality will use SRF administrative funds of up to \$400,000 for costs associated with administering the program. In addition, loan origination fees, equal to 1% of the principal loan amount, are charged to loan recipients. That revenue may also be used for program administration expenses. The Division of Water Quality estimates that \$131,050 will be collected from loan origination fees by the end of Fiscal Year 2017.

### **EXTENDED FINANCING TERMS**

As of July 1, 2016, the Utah Water Quality Board has provided extended financing to eight SRF recipients: Bear Lake SSD, Nibley City, Fairview City, Hooper City, Stockton Town, Mona City, Elwood Town, and Eureka City. The Division of Water Quality estimates that the long term impact of extended financing on the SRF program is less than a 1% revolving level reduction over 60 years. This estimate does not include an adjustment for inflation.

In cases of extreme hardship, the maximum affordable loan amount may not provide sufficient capital to cover project costs. In these cases, the Board would be requested to provide hardship grant funds to make these projects feasible. Extended-term financing can increase the loan amount that a community qualifies for under the 1.4% median adjusted gross household income (MAGI) affordability guideline. The extended terms also benefit the SRF program by replacing an award of grant dollars with additional loan repayments, albeit in years 21-30.

### **ADDITIONAL SUBSIDIZATION**

The FY17 capitalization grant may allow states to provide additional subsidization in the form of principal forgiveness and negative interest loans. Minimum and maximum additional subsidization amounts will be outlined in the programmatic terms and conditions of the award. The Water Quality Board uses principal forgiveness agreements as its mechanism for awarding additional subsidization.

Additional subsidy may be provided to disadvantaged communities, communities addressing water-efficiency or energy-efficiency goals, communities mitigating storm water runoff, or to encourage sustainability. For the Water Quality Board to qualify a community as disadvantaged, the estimated annual cost of sewer service must exceed 1.4% of the MAGI. Figure 1: FY17 List of SRF Projects identifies those projects that may meet any additional subsidization requirement. However, the Water Quality Board may authorize principal forgiveness to additional projects presented for authorization during the year.

### **GREEN PROJECT RESERVE**

The FY17 capitalization grant allocation may require that, to the extent there are sufficient eligible projects applications, not less than 10% of the SRF funds shall be used for projects that address green infrastructure, water or energy efficiency improvements, or other environmentally innovative activities. The State of Utah will meet this objective by identifying projects that meet green infrastructure requirements and providing funding, in whole or in part, as they proceed to construction. Figure 1: FY17 List of SRF Projects identifies projects that may meet the Green Project Reserve requirement.

### **PROGRAM ASSURANCES**

The State of Utah must comply with its Operation Agreement with EPA and <u>Utah Administrative Code</u>, R-317-102, Utah Wastewater State Revolving Fund (SRF). Assurances include:

- Section 602(a)-Environmental Reviews
- Section 602(b)(3)-Certify binding commitments within one year
- Section 602(b)(4)-Certify expeditious and timely expenditures
- Section 602(b)(5)-First use for enforceable requirements

The Division of Water Quality will complete the one-page worksheet through the Clean Benefits Reporting database for all binding commitments in the quarter that they are made.

### **FY17 CWSRF PROJECT FUNDING**

Eligible projects to be funded by the SRF include loans closed with remaining draws, authorized loans, and anticipated loans. Loans closed with remaining draws are projects that are currently under construction. Authorized loans are projects that have been authorized by the Utah Water Quality Board and are in the design phase. Anticipated loans are projects that are in the beginning stages of planning.

Funding through the SRF can include federal dollars from the capitalization grant awards, principal repayments, interest payments, and investment fund interest earnings. Figure 1 shows the proposed projects that are expected to be funded from the Clean Water SRF. Projects must meet specific programmatic requirements including federal cross cutters and "super cross-cutters," Davis-Bacon wages, American Iron and Steel (AIS), NEPA-like environmental review, Single Audit Act, Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE), and Architectural and Engineering Services procurement.

As determined by the Utah Water Quality Board, SRF loan recipients may be charged a hardship grant assessment in lieu of interest. Upon collection, the hardship grant assessment will be placed into the Federal Hardship Grant Fund. If a hardship grant assessment is derived from a loan funded directly by EPA Capitalization Grant monies, the assessment shall be used for purposes identified in 40 CFR Part 31.25. If a hardship grant assessment is derived from a loan funded by SRF loan repayments, the assessment may be used to provide grants to communities for projects that are economically unfeasible without grant assistance.

### **LONG TERM GOALS**

- 1. Provide a permanent funding source for water quality construction projects that supplements a community's own resources and/or other funding sources.
- 2. Distribute SRF funds to projects with the highest water quality and infrastructure needs by evaluating and prioritizing proposed projects throughout the state.
- 3. Support EPA's Sustainability Policy by balancing a community's economic and water quality needs with the perpetuity of the SRF program.
- 4. Assist communities with all phases of a project, including sufficient planning, project design, environmental work, and construction.

### **SHORT TERM GOALS**

- Present eligible projects to the Water Quality Board for authorization by increasing the profile of the SRF program as a potential funding source and by assisting communities through the application and award process.
- Collaborate with other agencies (e.g., Utah Permanent Community Impact Board, U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Development, and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers) in order to sufficiently fund projects.
- 3. Solicit and fund eligible nonpoint source and storm water projects.
- 4. Provide funding, equal to at least ten percent (10%) of the capitalization award, for recycled water and water reuse projects.

# FIGURE 1. FY17 LIST OF SRF PROJECTS

LOAN RECIPIENT	PERMIT NUMBER	NEEDS CATEGORY	ASSISTANCE AMOUNT	INTEREST RATE	TERM (YRS)	ADDITIONAL SUBSIDY AMOUNT (Principal Forgiveness)	GREEN PROJECT RESERVE AMOUNT	BINDING COMMITMENT
			LOANS CLOSED V	WITH REMAII	NING DRAW	<b>IS</b>		
Logan City	UT002199920	II-Advanced Wastewater Treatment	\$ 69,131,000	0.75%	20			Mar 2016
			AUTHO	ORIZED LOA	NS			
Duchesne City	UT0020095	I-Secondary Treatment	2,700,000	0.25%	30		\$400,000	Apr 2017
Moab City	UT0020419	I-Secondary Treatment	10,405,000	1.15%	20		\$400,000	Feb 2017
Salem City	UT0020249	I-Secondary Treatment	13,000,000	1.15%	20			June 2017
San Juan Spanish Valley SSD	N/A	IVa-New Collectors	2,515,000	0%	30	\$1,547,000		Dec 2017
,			ANTIC	IPATED LOA	NS			
Central Valley WRF	UT0024392	I-Secondary Treatment	40,000,000	Unknown	Unknown			Dec 2018
Emigration SID	N/A	L-Individual/ Decentralized Systems	500,000	Unknown	Unknown		\$500,000	Jan 2018
Kamas City	N/A	I-Secondary Treatment	8,000,000	Unknown	Unknown			Dec 2018
Moab City (sewer)	UT0020419	IVb-New Interceptors	2,500,000	Unknown	Unknown			April 2018
Moab City (outfall)	UT0020419	IIIb-Major Sewer Rehabilitation	1,000,000	Unknown	Unknown			Dec 2018
Moab City (SW Joint SW Project w/UDOT	UT0020419	VI-Storm Sewers	500,000	Unknown	Unknown			June 2018
Morgan City	UT0020893	I-Secondary Treatment	12,000,000	Unknown	Unknown			Aug 2018
Payson City	UT0020427	I-Secondary Treatment	6,900,000	Unknown	Unknown			Aug 2018
Roosevelt	N/A	IVa-New Collectors	5,000,000	Unknown	Unknown	\$1,000,000	\$400,000	June 2018
Salt Lake City	UT0021725	I-Secondary Treatment	40,000,000	Unknown	Unknown			Dec 2018
South Davis Sewer Dist	UT0021628	II-Advanced Treatment	25,000,000	Unknown	Unknown		\$25,000,000	Dec 2017
South Salt Lake	N/A	I-Secondary Treatment	4,000,000	Unknown	Unknown	\$2,000,000		Dec 2018
Spanish Fork City	UT0020109	I-Secondary Treatment	8,000,000	Unknown	Unknown			Dec 2018
Town of Tropic	N/A	I-Secondary Treatment	2,000,000	Unknown	Unknown			June 2018
Tremonton City	UT0020303	I-Secondary Treatment	5,000,000	Unknown	Unknown			Aug 2018
TOTAL			\$258,151,000			\$4,547,000	\$26,700,000	

### **FY17 UTAH WASTEWATER LOAN PROGRAM**

The Utah Wastewater Loan program is a state-funded loan program similar to the SRF. Revenue for the Utah Wastewater Loan program is derived from sales tax dollars and principal repayments. Monies may be authorized in the form of loans or interest-rate buy downs.

Projects eligible for funding through the Utah Wastewater Loan program have been divided into three categories: closed loans with remaining draws, authorized loans, and anticipated loans. Closed loans with remaining draws are projects that have held loan closing and are currently under construction. Authorized loans are those projects which have received authorization from the Utah Water Quality Board, but have not yet held loan closing and are still in the planning or design phase. Anticipated loans are those projects that may be presented to the Utah Quality Board for authorization in the next fiscal year.

Please refer to Figure 2 for a list of proposed projects to be funded from the Utah Wastewater Loan Fund.

FIGURE 2: FY17 LIST OF UWLF PROJECTS

LOAN RECIPIENT	ASSISTANCE AMOUNT	INTEREST RATE	TERM (YEARS)	BINDING COMMITMENT								
	LOAN CLOSED w	/Remaining Drav	ws									
None at this time												
	AUTHORIZED LOANS											
Eagle Mountain City	\$ 490,000	1%	20	June 2017								
Summit County	1,030,000	0%	30	April 2017								
ANTICIPATED LOANS												
Arches SSD	1,000,000	Unknown	Unknown	August 201 <i>7</i>								
Blanding City	2,500,000	Unknown	Unknown	April 2017								
Hildale	1,000,000	Unknown	Unknown	August 2018								
Plain City	2,000,000	Unknown	Unknown	June 2018								
Provo City	30,000,000	Unknown	Unknown	December 2018								
Tooele County	8,000,000	Unknown	Unknown	December 2018								
Wellington City	1,500,000	Unknown	Unknown	January 2018								
TOTAL	\$47,520,000											

### **FY17 HARDSHIP GRANT FUNDS**

The State of Utah provides hardship grants for several types of projects. First, hardship grant funds may be authorized as planning advances or grants and design advances. Advances are repaid once construction funding has been secured through a loan closing. Second, funds may be awarded as

hardship construction grants to entities that may not otherwise be able to afford to complete an eligible project. The Water Quality Board may consider authorizing a hardship grant when the estimated annual cost of sewer service exceeds 1.4% of the local MAGI. Third, hardship grants may be awarded for water quality improvement projects such as non-point source, water quality studies, and educational outreach efforts. Projects eligible for Hardship Grant Funds may be added to the list once authorization has been received from the Board.

Please refer to Figure 3 for a list of proposed projects to be funded from the Hardship Grant Funds.

FIGURE 3: FY17 LIST OF HARDSHIP GRANT PROJECTS

Recipient	Assistance Amount	Туре								
HARDSHIP	GRANTS									
Big Plains	\$ 38,000	Planning Grant								
Duchesne City	400,000	Construction Grant								
Eagle Mountain City (White Hills)	580,000	Construction Grant								
Emigration Sewer Improvement District	26,158	Planning Grant								
Francis City	513,000	Construction Grant								
Tri-County/Stonegate	221,000	Construction Grant								
Hinckley	135,000	Hardship Grant								
San Juan Spanish Valley SSD	220,000	Design Advance								
Town of Tropic	44,000	Planning Advance								
ANTICIPATED HARDSHIP GRANTS										
Bear Lake SSD	500,000	Construction Grant								
Kane County WCD (Duck Creek)	1,000,000	Construction Grant								
NON-POINT SO	URCE GRANTS									
DEQ - Ammonia Criteria	70,674	NPS Grant								
DEQ – Willard Spur Study	113,326	NPS Grant								
DEQ — Nitrogen Transformation Study	113,917	NPS Grant								
DEQ — San Juan River Monitoring	194,589	NPS Grant								
DEQ-Great Salt Lake Advisory Council	260,717	NPS Grant								
Gunnison Irrigation Company	48,587	NPS Grant								
Utah Department of Agriculture	680,263	NPS Grant								
DEQ - GW Quality Study	68,100	NPS Grant								
DEQ – Utah Lake Water Quality Study	1,000,000	NPS Grant								
FY12 - FY17 Remaining Payments	1,956,266	Various NPS Grants								
TOTAL	\$8,208,597									

### **PAYMENT SCHEDULE**

Utah's Clean Water SRF has met "first use" requirements of Section 602(b)(5). SRF funds will be distributed using the method, criteria, and eligible activities that are outlined in Section R-317-101 and 102 of the <u>Utah Administrative Code</u>. The methods and criteria provide affordable assistance as well as maximum benefit to the long-term viability of the fund.

If the dollar amount of projects in the FY 2017 Intended Use Plan exceeds the actual amount of funds available during the planning period, one of the following may occur:

- 1. Projects listed may not be funded.
- 2. Projects may be funded using available credit enhancement techniques.
- 3. Projects may need to be delayed until funds are available.

Please see the attached **CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS** for the detail of revenue and expenses for the State Revolving Fund, Utah Wastewater Loan Fund, and Hardship Grant Funds.

CTATE	OF LIT	ALI CIEA	NI WATED	CTATE DEV	OLVING	ELINIE

**CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS** 

### LOAN FUNDS FINANCIAL PROJECTIONS

Cash Flow Projections - STATE REVOLVING FUND (SRF)	OUDDENT								
STATE REVOLVING FUND (SRF)	CURRENT								
	FUND	SFY	2/2/2			2018			2019
	STATUS	Jan - Mar 2017	Apr - June 2017	July - Sept 2017	Oct - Dec 2017	Jan - Mar 2018	Apr - June 2018	July - Sept 2018	Oct - Dec 2018
SRF Capitalization Grant Funds Available		\$ 26,609,362		\$ 16,491,362		\$ 2,323,362	\$ 723,362	\$ (3,876,638)	
FY14 Award - Remaining Balance	\$ 767,362	\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
FY15 Award - Remaining Balance	\$ 7,324,000	\$ -	0		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
FY16 Award - Remaining Balance	\$ 7,011,000	\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
FY17 Award (estimate)	\$ 7,200,000	\$ -	0	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
20% State Match Requirement for FY15, FY16, & FY17 Awards	\$ 4,307,000	\$ -	0	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -		\$
Total Capitalization Grant Funds Available	\$ 26,609,362	\$ 26,609,362	\$ 25,996,362	\$ 16,491,362	\$ 16,391,362	\$ 2,323,362	\$ 723,362	\$ (3,876,638)	\$ (11,723,63
General Obligations									
DWQ Administrative Costs	\$ -	\$ (100,000)	\$ (100,000)	\$ (100,000)	\$ (100,000)	\$ (100,000)	\$ (100,000)	\$ (100,000)	\$ (100,00
Loans Closed w/ Remaining Draws									
Francis City	\$ -	\$ (513,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
Authorized Loans									
Duchesne City	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,000,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,000,000)	\$ -	\$ (700,000)	\$
Moab City	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (8,405,000)			\$ -		\$ -	\$
San Juan Spanish Valley SSD	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ (968,000)		\$ -	\$ (1,547,000)	\$
Anticipated Loans					,,,/			,,	
Emigration SID	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	s -	\$ (500,000)	\$ -	s -	\$
Payson City	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (3,000,000)	
Roosevelt City	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,500,000)	\$ (2,500,000)	
Salem City	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ (13,000,000)	127	\$ -	\$ -	\$
South Salt Lake	\$ -	4	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ .	\$ (4,000,00
Spanish Fork City	\$ .		s .	š .			\$ -		\$ (8.000,000
Total "First Round" Funds Obligated	<u> </u>	\$ (613,000)	-		-	-	-	<u> </u>	
Interest Earnings (0.9%)  Loan Repayments  Total "Second Round" Funds Available  Loans Closed w/ Remaining Draws	\$ - \$ - \$ 100,558,795	\$ 226,257 \$ 3,571,812 \$ 104,356,864	\$ 3,571,813	\$ 222,823 \$ 3,110,573 \$ 102,365,876	\$ 3,110,573	\$ 3,110,573	\$ 3,110,574	\$ 98,344 \$ 3,158,047 \$ 46,964,721	\$ 3,158,04
Logan City Authorized Loans Salem City	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -		\$ (10,000,000) \$ (5,000,000)	\$ (10,000,000) \$	\$ (10,000,000) \$ -	\$ (10,000,000) \$ (5,000,000)		
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans									
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (5,000,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (5,000,000)	\$ -	\$ (3,000,00 \$ (40,000,00
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (5,000,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (5,000,000)	\$ - \$ -	\$ (3,000,00 \$ (40,000,00 \$ (8,000,00
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer)	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (5,000,000)	\$ - \$ -	\$ (3,000,00 \$ (40,000,00 \$ (8,000,00
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ -	\$ (5,000,000)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (5,000,000)	\$ - \$ -	\$ (3,000,00 \$ (40,000,00 \$ (8,000,00 \$ (500,00
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer)	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (1,000,000)	\$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ -	\$ (3,000,00 \$ (40,000,00 \$ (8,000,00 \$ (500,00
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer) Moab City (outfall)	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ -	\$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ -	\$ (3,000,00 \$ (40,000,00 \$ (8,000,00 \$ (500,00 \$ (1,000,00
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer) Moab City (outfall) Moab City (storm water)	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (500,000)	\$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ -	\$ (3,000,00 \$ (40,000,00 \$ (8,000,00 \$ (500,00 \$ (1,000,00 \$
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer) Moab City (outfall) Moab City (storm water) Morgan City	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (500,000) \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (3,000,000) \$ -	\$ (3,000,00 \$ (40,000,00 \$ (8,000,00 \$ (500,00 \$ (1,000,00 \$ \$ (9,000,00 \$ (40,000,00
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer) Moab City (outfall) Moab City (storm water) Morgan City Salt Lake City	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (500,000) \$ -	\$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (3,000,000) \$ - \$ (5,000,000)	\$ (3,000,00 \$ (40,000,00 \$ (8,000,00 \$ (500,00 \$ (1,000,00 \$ \$ (9,000,00 \$ (40,000,00
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer) Moab City (outfall) Moab City (storm water) Morgan City Salt Lake City South Davis Sewer District Town of Tropic	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000)  \$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (500,000) \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ (2,000,000)	\$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (3,000,000) \$ (5,000,000) \$ -	\$ (3,000,00) \$ (40,000,00) \$ (8,000,00) \$ (500,00) \$ (1,000,00) \$ \$ (9,000,00) \$ (5,000,00) \$ (5,000,00)
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer) Moab City (soutfall) Moab City (storm water) Morgan City Salt Lake City South Davis Sewer District Town of Tropic Tremonton City	\$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 5 \$ 5 \$ 5 \$ 5 \$ 5 \$ 5 \$ 5 \$ 5 \$ 5 \$ 5	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ (500,000) \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ (2,000,000) \$ (2,000,000)	\$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (3,000,000) \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (5,000,000)	\$ (3,000,00) \$ (40,000,00) \$ (8,000,00) \$ (500,00) \$ (1,000,00) \$ (9,000,00) \$ (40,000,00) \$ (5,000,00) \$ (2,500,00)
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer) Moab City (soutfall) Moab City (storm water) Morgan City Salt Lake City South Davis Sewer District Town of Tropic Tremonton City  Total "Second Round" Funds Obligated	\$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (15,000,000)	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (15,000,000)	\$ (5,000,000)  \$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (500,000) \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ (2,000,000) \$ - \$ (23,500,000)	\$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (3,000,000) \$ (5,000,000) \$ (2,500,000) \$ (21,500,000)	\$ (3,000,00) \$ (40,000,00) \$ (8,000,00) \$ (500,00) \$ (1,000,00) \$ (9,000,00) \$ (40,000,00) \$ (5,000,00) \$ (2,500,00) \$ (2,500,00) \$ (119,000,00)
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer) Moab City (sewer) Moab City (sourtall) Moab City (storm water) Morgan City Salt Lake City South Davis Sewer District Town of Tropic Tremonton City  Total *Second Round* Funds Obligated Unobligated SRF "Second Round" Funds	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 9,032,480	\$ (5,000,000)  \$ - \$ \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (15,000,000) \$ 75,673,023	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (15,000,000) \$ 63,953,860	\$ (5,000,000)  \$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (500,000) \$ (2,000,000) \$ (2,000,000) \$ (2,000,000) \$ 43,708,330	\$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (3,000,000) \$ (5,000,000) \$ (2,500,000) \$ (21,500,000) \$ (21,500,000) \$ 25,464,721	\$ (3,000,00) \$ (40,000,00) \$ (8,000,00) \$ (500,00) \$ (7,000,00) \$ (9,000,00) \$ (40,000,00) \$ (5,000,00) \$ (2,500,00) \$ (2,500,00) \$ (119,000,00) \$ (90,319,93
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer) Moab City (soutfall) Moab City (storm water) Morgan City Salt Lake City South Davis Sewer District Town of Tropic Tremonton City  Total "Second Round" Funds Obligated	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 9,032,480	\$ (5,000,000)  \$ - \$ \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (15,000,000) \$ 75,673,023	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (15,000,000) \$ 63,953,860	\$ (5,000,000)  \$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (500,000) \$ (2,000,000) \$ (2,000,000) \$ - \$ (23,500,000) \$ 43,708,330	\$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (3,000,000) \$ (5,000,000) \$ (2,500,000) \$ (21,500,000) \$ (21,500,000) \$ 25,464,721	\$ (3,000,00 \$ (40,000,00 \$ (8,000,00 \$ (500,00 \$ (1,000,00 \$ (40,000,00 \$ (5,000,00 \$ (2,500,00
Authorized Loans Salem City Anticipated Loans Central Valley WRF Kamas City Moab City (sewer) Moab City (sewer) Moab City (sourtall) Moab City (storm water) Morgan City Salt Lake City South Davis Sewer District Town of Tropic Tremonton City  Total *Second Round* Funds Obligated Unobligated SRF "Second Round" Funds	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 9,032,480	\$ (5,000,000)  \$ - \$ \$ -	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (15,000,000) \$ 75,673,023	\$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ (5,000,000) \$ - \$ (15,000,000) \$ 63,953,860	\$ (5,000,000)  \$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (500,000) \$ (2,000,000) \$ (2,000,000) \$ (2,000,000) \$ 43,708,330	\$ - \$ (1,000,000) \$ - \$ (3,000,000) \$ (5,000,000) \$ (2,500,000) \$ (21,500,000) \$ (21,500,000) \$ 25,464,721	\$ (3,000,000) \$ (40,000,000) \$ (8,000,000) \$ (500,000) \$ (7,000,000) \$ (40,000,000) \$ (5,000,000) \$ (2,500,000) \$ (2,500,000) \$ (119,000,000) \$ (90,319,93)

printed 1/12/2017

## LOAN FUNDS FINANCIAL PROJECTIONS

Utah Wastewater Loan Fund (UWLF)															
	CURRENT														
	FUND	SFY	201	7			SFY	2018	3				SFY	2019	
	STATUS	Jan - Mar 2017		Apr - June 2017	,	July - Sept 2017	Oct - Dec 2017	١.	Jan - Mar 2018	A	Apr - June 2018	J	luly - Sept 2018	-	Oct - Dec 2018
Funds Available															
UWLF Beginning Balance	\$ 22,127,725	\$ 17,820,725	\$	18,570,277	\$	16,768,394	\$ 15,598,811	\$	16,929,228	\$	16,759,646	\$	17,090,064	\$	16,340,584
Sales Tax Revenue	\$ -	\$ 31,435	\$		\$	896,875	\$ 896,875	\$	896,875	\$	896,875	\$	896,875	\$	896,875
Loan Repayments	\$ -	\$ 1,073,617	\$	1,073,617	\$	789,042	\$ 789,042	\$	789,043	\$	789,043	\$	709,145	\$	709,145
Total Funds Available	\$ 22,127,725	\$ 18,925,777	\$	19,643,894	\$	18,454,311	\$ 17,284,728	\$	18,615,146	\$	18,445,564	\$	18,696,084	\$	17,946,604
General Obligations															
20% State Match Transfer to SRF	\$ (4,307,000)	\$	\$		\$	-	\$	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	
DWQ Administrative Expenses (TMDL, etc.)	\$ -	\$ (355,500)	\$	(355,500)	\$	(355,500)	\$ (355,500)	\$	(355,500)	\$	(355,500)	\$	(355,500)	\$	(355,500
Loans Closed w/ Remaining Draws															
None at this time	\$	\$	\$		\$		\$	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	
Authorized Loans															
Eagle Mountain City	\$ -	\$ -	\$	(490,000)	\$		\$	\$		\$	# P	\$		\$	
Summit County	\$ -	\$ -	\$	(1,030,000)	\$	-	\$	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	
Anticipated Loans															
Arches SSD	\$ -	\$ -	\$		\$	(1,000,000)	\$	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Blanding City	\$ -	\$	\$	(1,000,000)	\$	(1,500,000)	\$	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Hildale	\$	\$	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$		\$	- /-	\$	(1,000,000)	\$	
Plain City	\$ -	\$	\$	-	\$		\$	\$		\$	(1,000,000)	\$	(1,000,000)	\$	-
Provo City	\$	\$	\$		\$		\$	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	(30,000,000
Wellington City	\$ -	\$	\$		\$		\$	\$	(1,500,000)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Total Funds Obligated	\$ (4,307,000)	\$ (355,500)	\$	(2,875,500)	\$	(2,855,500)	\$ (355,500)	\$	(1,855,500)	\$	(1,355,500)	\$	(2,355,500)	\$	(30,355,500
Total Unobligated UWLF Amount	\$ 17,820,725	\$ 18,570,277	\$	16,768,394	\$	15,598,811	\$ 16,929,228	\$	16,759,646	\$	17,090,064	\$	16,340,584	\$	(12,408,896

printed 1/12/2017

### **GRANT FUNDS FINANCIAL PROJECTIONS**

CASH FLOW PROJECTIONS - Hardship Grant Funds																	
Haruship Grant Funus	CURRENT					-											
														_	SFY		
	FUND STATUS	-	SFY Jan - Mar 2017	2017	June 2017	lide	- Sept 2017	-	SFY		an - Mar 2018		- June 2018	164	SFY .		et - Dec 2018
Beginning Balance	SIAIUS	0	4.350.520	CONTROL OF	3,398,650	¢ July		\$	(543,162)		(235,222)	2007.00	(501,512)		(467,805)		(1,161,333
Federal HGF Beginning Balance	\$ 3,232,6	80 \$	4,000,020	\$	5,530,050	\$	2,410,123	\$	(040,102)	\$	(200,222)	\$	(001,012)	\$	(407,000)	\$	(1,101,000
Hardship Grant Assessment Fees	\$	- \$	531,191		531,191	\$	336,588	\$		\$		\$	336,588	\$	306,472	\$	306,472
State HGF Beginning Balance	\$ 1,117,8	340 \$		\$	-	\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$		\$	
Interest Earnings	\$	- \$	9,789	\$	7,647	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Interest Earnings from UWLF	\$	- \$	40,097		41,783	\$	37,729	\$	-	\$	1/45	\$	-	\$		\$	-
UWLF Interest Payments	\$	- \$	123,166		123,165	\$		\$		\$	123,166	\$	123,165	\$		\$	77,396
Hardship Advance Repayments	\$	- \$	F 0F4 700	\$	4 400 400	\$	0.705.000	\$	220,000		004 500	\$	(44.750)	\$	(404 000)	\$	/777 ACE
Total Hardship Grant/PF Funds Available Financial Assistance Project Obligations/Authorizations	\$ 4,350,8	520 \$	5,054,762	\$ 4	4,102,436	\$	2,795,882	\$	90,822	\$	224,532	4	(41,759)	4	(161,333)	Þ	(777,465
	•	-   \$	(20,000)	•		\$		•		đ		\$		\$		\$	600
Big Plains - Planning Grant	\$	- 1	(38,000)	1	*****	-		\$	-	\$			-	-			-
Duchesne City - Construction Grant	\$	- \$		\$	(400,000)	-		\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$	-
Eagle Mountain City - White Hills - Construction Grant	\$	- \$		\$	(580,000)	\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$	
Emigration Sewer Improvement Dist - Planning Grant		\$	(23,158)				1										
Francis City - Construction Grant	\$	- \$		\$		\$	(513,000)	\$		\$		\$		\$	•	\$	
Hickley Town - Hardship Grant	\$	- \$	(135,000)	\$		\$		\$	*	\$		\$		\$		\$	
San Juan Spanish Valley - Design Advance	\$	- \$	(220,000)	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	12	\$		\$		\$	
Town of Tropic	\$	- \$	(44,000)	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Planned Projects																	
Bear Lake SSD	\$	- \$		\$		\$	(500,000)	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Kane County WCD - Duck Creek - Construction Grant	\$	- \$		\$	-	\$	(1,000,000)			\$		\$		\$		\$	
Non-Point Source/Hardship Grant Obligations		-  *		Ψ		1	(1,000,000)	Ψ.		Ψ		*		*		Ť	
Gunnison Irrigation Company	\$	- \$	(48,587)	•		s		\$		\$		\$		s		\$	
		- \$		-	-	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
DEQ - Willard Spur Study	\$		(113,326)	-	(00.000)	,		-	•	-					•	-	(500.000
Utah Department of Agriculture	\$	- \$		\$	(80,263)			\$	•	\$		\$	(100,000)	\$		\$	(500,000
DEQ - Great Salt Lake Advisory Council	\$	- \$	(260,717)	\$	7.4	\$	2	\$		\$		\$		\$	•	\$	
DEQ - Ammonia Criteria	\$	- \$	(70,674)	\$		\$	-	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
DEQ - Nitrogen Transformation Study	\$	- \$	(113,917)	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$		\$	
DEQ - San Juan River Monitoring	\$	- \$	(194,589)	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	(4)	\$	
DEQ - GW Quality Study	\$	-   \$	(68,100)			s		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
DEQ - Utah Lake WQ Study	\$	- \$	(00).00)	\$	(300,000)	-		\$		\$	(400,000)	-		\$		\$	(300,000
•	\$	- 8	(226 044)	-			(200.044)	1	Secretary and the second	-	110000000000000000000000000000000000000		(200 040)	1		\$	(300,000
FY2012 - FY2017 Remaining NPS Payments	Φ	- 3	(326,044)	Þ	(326,044)	\$	(326,044)	ф	(326,044)	Ф	(326,044)	Ф	(326,046)	1 4		D.	
Planned Non-Point Source/Hardship Grants												-					-
FY 2018 Allocation	\$	- \$		+ -	-	\$	(1,000,000)	\$		\$		\$		\$	-	\$	
FY 2019 Allocation	\$	- \$	-	\$	-			\$		\$	-	\$		\$	(1,000,000)		
Total Hardship Grant Funds Obligated	\$	- \$	(1,656,112)	\$ (	1,686,307)	\$	(3,339,044)	\$	(326,044)	\$	(726,044)	\$	(426,046)	\$	(1,000,000)	\$	(800,000

printed 1/12/2017

### STATE OF UTAH CLEAN WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND

# PROJECT PRIORITY LIST (PPL)

		State of	f Utah							
	Wastewa	ter Project A	Assista	nce Prog	ram					
		Project Pri	-							
	Project Name	Maria Tilangan	DHE TANK	10g 36-1-273744	Point Ca	tegories	Section 1			
Ranking as of 1/9/17		Funding Authorized	Total Points	Project Need	Potential Improvement	Population Affected	Special Consideration			
1	Moab City	×	120	50	24	6	40			
2	South Davis Sewer District		118	50	18	10	40			
3	Blanding City		109	35	10	4	60			
4	Salem City	x	108	50	12	6	40			
5	White Hills - Eagle Mountain	X	106	40	5	1	60			
6	San Juan Spanish Valley SSD	x	86	25	0	1	60			
7	Hinckley Town	x	82	60	20	2	0			
8	TriCounty Health Dept (Stonegate)	x	76	70	5	1	0			
9	Duchesne City	x	52	10	0	2	40			